The Effect of a Woman-Friendly Occupation on Employment: U.S. Postmasters Before World War II *

Sophie Li⁺

Note that the Appendix is not available due to restriction of the file size.

January 2, 2024

Abstract

I examine the effect of a woman-friendly occupation on employment by exploring a unique historical setting – the postmaster occupation during the early twentieth-century United States. Unlike many occupations that established practices to prevent married women from entering, postmasters were open to married women and offered flexible work arrangements and equal pay. With a novel dataset on postmaster appointments and census linking, I show that postmasters attracted qualified women who were not gainfully employed previously. However, the postmaster occupation offered women few benefits beyond the appointed term. Taking advantage of the fact that postmasters were presidential appointees and were rarely re-appointed after the party of the president changed, I compare the 1940 outcomes of women appointed just before and after the 1933 presidential transition in a regression discontinuity (RD) design. The RD estimates suggest that women experienced a 26.7 percentage points reduction in gainful employment after finishing their postmaster term. The negative employment effect is unique to women and does not apply to men appointed under the same circumstances. In addition, I show that women postmasters were not more likely to be employed than their women neighbors who had never been postmasters, despite their work experience. The lack of benefits for women's future employment is in part explained by state-level discrimination against married women working and the severity of the Great Depression.

^{*}I am grateful to my advisors James Feigenbaum, Bob Margo, and Johannes Schmieder for their guidance throughout the project. I thank Abhay Aneja, Martin Fiszbein, Claudia Goldin, Max Garcia, Shresth Garg, Kevin Lang, Nayeon Lim, Ben Marx, Greg Niemesh, Daniele Paserman, Pascual Restrepo, Mara Squicciarini and seminar participants from Boston University, Harvard, the World Cliometric Conference, and the EHA for helpful comments. I appreciate Jennifer Lynch and Stephen Kochersperger from the USPS historian's office answering my questions. All errors are my own.

[†]Department of Economics, Boston University. Contact: ywl242@bu.edu.

1 Introduction

Historically, women's employment outside the home in the United States was restricted by social norms, marriage bars, and other factors (Harris, 1978, Goldin, 1988). Despite substantial progress made during the past few decades, women's employment today is still negatively affected by norms associated with motherhood (Kleven et al., 2019, Kleven, 2023). How can we improve women's employment? Past research suggests that women-friendly occupations that provide flexibility in work arrangements could be the answer (Goldin and Katz, 2016, Mas and Pallais, 2017, Wiswall and Zafar, 2017).

This paper examines the effect of a specific woman-friendly occupation on employment by exploring a unique historical setting – the postmaster occupation during the early twentiethcentury United States. Unlike many other occupations that established practices to prevent married women from entering, postmasters were open to married women. The occupation also provided a flexible and respectable work environment and equal pay, making it more womanfriendly than many other skilled occupations historically. Postmaster is also an ideal context to examine women's historical employment because postmasters work for the federal government which helped to advance women's economic and political rights during this period.

To study postmasters, I collect a novel dataset on postmaster appointments between 1920 and 1940. The archival dataset, "Record of Appointment of Postmasters, 1832–1971", contains rich information about postmaster names, postmaster appointment dates, and post office locations (National Archives and Records Administration, 1977). Based on postmaster names and prefixes (such as "Miss"), I identify women postmasters. Based on postmaster appointment dates and post office locations, I link women postmasters to their 1920 and 1940 complete-count census records to obtain their pre-appointment and post-appointment characteristics.

With the linked data, I first show that postmaster jobs attracted qualified women who were not gainfully employed before. Specifically, women postmasters had 11.7 years of schooling on average, above the 70th percentile of the distribution. Almost half of the married women postmasters had a self-employed husband, suggesting that these women might be accumulating work experience by helping their husbands with the family business. Despite their qualifications, only 31.7 percent of women were gainfully employed in the labor market before being appointed postmaster, not significantly higher than the share of women gainfully employed in the general female population. These descriptive statistics suggest many women would not have worked in the absence of postmaster jobs.

In the second part of the paper, I show that postmaster jobs offered few benefits to women's employment beyond the appointed term. Taking advantage of the fact that postmasters were presidential appointees and were rarely re-appointed after the party of the president changed (Kernell and McDonald, 1999, Blevins, 2021), I compare the 1940 labor market outcomes for women postmasters appointed just before and after the 1933 presidential transition in a regression discontinuity (RD) design. For women postmasters appointed just before the 1933 transition, they could not get reappointed and likely had lost their postmaster appointment by 1940, not through any fault of their job performance but simply because they belonged to the "wrong" political party. For women appointed just after the 1933 transition, on the other hand, they could possibly still get reappointed and continue to be postmasters beyond 1940.

The RD results suggest that women postmasters generally did not find new employment after finishing their postmaster term. In particular, women postmasters appointed just before the presidential transition were 26.7 percentage points less likely to be gainfully employed and reduced their labor supply by 17 weeks worked per year and 11.2 hours worked per week. I additionally show that the negative employment effect is unique to women and does not apply to men. For male postmasters appointed just before the presidential transition, they did not experience a reduction in their future employment. The comparison between women's and men's results suggests the negative employment effect among women is unlikely driven by selection issues among postmasters appointed just before the presidential transition.

Moreover, there is little evidence that women who had been postmasters benefited from their work experience when compared to women who had never been postmasters. In a difference-indifference (DID) design, I compare women postmasters with their women neighbors who lived in the same town in 1920. Conditional on neighborhood and education fixed effects, women postmasters were not more likely to be employed in 1940 than their 1920 women neighbors.

The large reduction in employment among women postmasters is puzzling because these women were highly educated and had valuable work experience. Why did many women stop working after finishing their postmaster term? I present suggestive evidence showing that there was a lack of employment opportunities for women in general, and the lack of employment opportunities could be explained by two reasons.

The first reason is state-level discrimination against married women working. In particular, twenty-six states introduced legislation that prohibited married women from working during the Great Depression because many believed married women did not need the additional income and were taking up employment opportunities for others (Gallup Organization, 1939, Shallcross, 1940).¹ I find that women in states with newly introduced marriage bars experienced larger adverse effects in their 1940 employment. The evidence is consistent with the hypothesis that a lack of employment opportunities for women leads to few long-term benefits of a woman-friendly occupation.

The second reason is the severity of the Great Depression. Using retail sales loss per capita between 1929 and 1933 as the measure for the severity of the Great Depression (Fishback et al., 2005), I find that women postmasters in counties with a more severe economic downturn experienced a larger reduction in employment after finishing their postmaster term, which is consistent with the hypothesis outlined above. In addition, the overlap of my sample period and the Great Depression allows me to examine the effect of the economic downturn on women's labor market outcomes, which is understudied compared to men's (Feigenbaum, 2015).

Finally, I rule out fertility and home production as the mechanism behind the findings. Women postmasters appointed just before and after the presidential transition had very similar household-level outcomes in 1940, such as the number of children and the number of servants in the household. This suggests that women appointed just before the transition did not have more children or spent more time in home production after finishing their postmaster term.

I advance the literature in three directions. I take advantage of the richness of the archival data to study historical women's work, which was often invisible either because the work was not considered "a gainful occupation" or because many women worked temporarily (Goldin, 1990, Folbre, 1995, Burnette, 2021).² By uncovering the group of women who worked as postmasters and played significant roles in the operation of US post offices, my paper adds to a growing literature that focuses on women's work during the historical period, such as women in agriculture (Withrow, 2021), women telephone operators (Feigenbaum and Gross, 2021), and

¹The state-level legislation is in addition to the existing barriers against married women working practiced by many occupations and industries before the 1930s.

²For example, the 1940 Census reports women were more likely to drop out of the labor force during the Depression, making it more difficult to study women who worked (United States Census Bureau, 1943).

women family workers (Chiswick and Robinson, 2021).

I highlight the importance of woman-friendly occupations in improving women's employment but acknowledge the limitations. Conventional wisdom suggests that a woman-friendly occupation is good for women's employment, but this is one of the few papers that provide empirical evidence on this topic (Goldin, 2014, Goldin and Katz, 2016, Mas and Pallais, 2020). On the one hand, the paper's findings are consistent with the literature, which shows that women might value flexibility in working hours and locations (Mas and Pallais, 2017, Wiswall and Zafar, 2017). On the other hand, the results suggest the longer-run benefits of a woman-friendly occupation are uncertain due to institutional barriers and macroeconomic conditions.

My paper is also closely related to the job loss literature because postmasters appointed before the presidential transition lost their jobs after finishing the appointed term. One key contribution is that I focus on women's response to job loss instead of on men's, which is relatively understudied. My findings are consistent with past work that shows women fare worse than men after job loss (Maxwell and D'Amico, 1986, Crossley et al., 1994, Kunze and Troske, 2015, Illing et al., 2021, Meekes and Hassink, 2022), but my estimate is larger than most estimates in the literature, possibly because women's employment was more constrained historically, and I am able to include in the analysis women who dropped out of the labor force thanks to the complete-count census data. I also contribute by using presidential transitions as a natural experiment, alleviating concerns about adverse selection of job losers (Jacobson et al., 1993).

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the data and census linking. Section 3 provides the historical background about postmasters and shows that it is empirically supported by the data. Section 4 shows postmaster jobs attracted qualified women who were not gainfully employed previously. Section 5 describes the regression discontinuity identification strategy. Section 6 and Section 7 present and discuss the results. Section 8 explains why women experienced a large reduction in employment after finishing their postmaster term. Section 9 concludes the paper.

2 Data and Census Linking

2.1 Presidential Transitions

A presidential transition occurs when the party of the president changes. There were three presidential transitions in the United States in the early twentieth century (see details in Table 1). The first presidential transition of the 20th Century occurred in 1913 when Woodrow Wilson, a Democrat, replaced William Taft, a Republican. Wilson's victory ended the Republicans' control of the presidency since McKinley won the 1896 presidential election. The second presidential transition occurred in 1921 when Warren Harding entered the office, right after Wilson finished his two terms as President. After that, Republicans remained in control of the presidency for more than a decade. The third presidential transition took place in 1933 as Franklin Roosevelt took office. Thanks to Roosevelt's popularity, the next presidential transition did not happen until the early 1950s.

2.2 Postmaster Appointments

I collect a novel dataset on postmaster appointments during the early twentieth century in the United States. This is part of a larger archival dataset, "Record of Appointment of Postmasters, 1832–1971", which contains more than a century-long list of postmaster appointments for all post offices that ever existed (National Archives and Records Administration, 1977, Ancestry, 2021). The dataset provides rich information about postmaster appointments, including postmaster names, postmaster appointment dates, and post office locations. Figure 1 shows a sample image of the archival dataset. The top of each appointment record indicates the name and location of the post offices. The table below displays postmaster names and appointment dates. Figure 1 shows the postmaster appointment records for the Clermont post office in Lake County, Florida.

Based on post office locations, I infer the county and state of residence of postmasters; postmasters were selected locally as the Civil Service Commission required the candidates for postmasters to reside in the post office's delivery zone (United States Civil Service Commission, 1916). Information about one's county and state of residence is not only valuable in census linking but is also useful to understanding the local labor market conditions.

Based on postmaster names, I infer the gender of postmasters. The first two postmasters ap-

pointed in the Clermont post office were most likely to be women, as indicated by predominantly female names such as "Isabelle" and "Florence," as well as the prefixes "Miss" and "Mrs." before their names. On the other hand, the last person appointed at the Clermont post office, Robert O. Seaver, was most likely to be a man.³ Using prefixes, I infer the women postmasters' marital status. For example, the first person on the appointment record is "Miss Isabelle H. Boyd," and I assume that Isabelle is a woman who had never been married at the time of the appointment. The second person on the same page is "Mrs. Florence M. Bowman," and I assume Florence is a woman who was married or had been married at the time of the appointment. At the aggregate level, postmaster names allow me to calculate the share of women postmasters and ever-married women postmasters in each year.

Based on postmaster appointment dates, I infer the party affiliation of postmasters. Given that postmasters were presidential appointees, postmasters and the presidents who appointed them often shared the same party affiliation. The first postmaster appointed at the Clermont post office, Miss Isabelle H. Boyd, was appointed in 1931 under a Republican presidency, which means she was most likely a Republican. On the other hand, the second postmaster, Mrs. Florence M. Bowman, was appointed in 1935 under a Democratic presidency, indicating that she was most likely a Democrat.

In addition, I calculate the distance between initial appointment dates and presidential transition dates as well as the tenure length of each postmaster. This allows me to identify postmasters appointed just before and after a presidential transition and examine how the timing of the appointment affected their postmaster career. Postmaster appointment dates also allow me to identify whether the linked census records reflect the pre-appointment or post-appointment characteristics of the postmasters.

To my knowledge, this is one of the only two papers that utilize this newly digitized dataset (the other is Aneja and Xu, 2022). What differentiates my use of the data from theirs is that I focus on the gender of the postmaster rather than the race. There are more variations in the share of women appointed because very few postmasters were Black.

³I use the first name-based gender prediction method developed by Blevins and Mullen, 2015 to predict whether one is a woman. The prediction generated through the first name is consistent with the prediction generated through prefixes. See **??** of the Appendix for more details on Blevins and Mullen, 2015.

2.3 Postal Guide

In addition to postmaster appointment data, I also digitized one volume of the Postal Guide and merged it with the postmaster appointment data. The Postal Guide is an official government document that contains information about the size of each post office and the level of compensation each postmaster received (United States Government Printing Office, 1939). A sample image of the Postal Guide is available in **??**. Since postmasters were paid at least \$1,100 a year, and sometimes even \$2,000 to \$3,000 a year, they were better paid than workers in other skilled occupations historically.

2.4 Census Linking

To obtain pre-appointment characteristics and post-appointment labor market outcomes of postmasters, I link postmasters to several complete count decennial census records (Ruggles et al., 2021). The linking conducted here is slightly different from others (such as Abramitzky et al., 2021) because the only available information for linking is postmaster names, postmaster appointment dates, and post office locations. Given limited information, I impose a conservative linking criterion requiring an exact and unique match of first name, last name, and county and state of residence. To overcome the barrier of linking women – who often change their names upon marriage – I require women's prefixes and marital status to match. This means I can only link women who did not change their marital status between the time of appointment and when censuses were taken.⁴ Because postmasters from larger post offices and those appointed close to a census year might be linked more easily, I generate inverse probability weights based on post office size, postmaster appointment year, and characteristics of postmaster names to ensure the linked sample is representative of the original dataset (Bailey et al., 2020).⁵

Specifically, I link postmasters appointed between 1920 and 1940 to their 1920 and 1940 complete count census records. The average linking rates are 37.7 percent and 33.0 percent, respectively.⁶ The main reason I focus on postmasters appointed during this period is to have enough

⁴This is not a particular concern since 80 percent of women postmasters were married or had been married by the time of their appointment (referred to the "ever-married").

⁵Variables related to the size of the post office are the classification of the post office (as shown in the Postal Guide) as well as the salary the postmaster received. Variables related to the characteristics of the names include the length of the first and last name, whether the name is common, whether the name has a middle name or initial, and whether the name contains odd letters such as "z".

⁶I also linked postmasters to their 1930 complete count census, and the average linking rate is 33.4 percent.

observations for women because the share of women postmasters was much higher after the 1920s, as shown in Table 1. I focus on postmasters appointed between 1920 and 1940 to ensure I have enough observations for women postmasters, especially in the linked data.

3 Historical Background About Postmasters

Postmasters are the managers of the local post offices, and each post office has one postmaster. Postmasters are in charge of selling stamps and renting out post office boxes, and they are experts in the money order business and the Postal Savings system.

Two important aspects of the postmaster occupation are central to this paper. Postmaster jobs were much more woman friendly than any other skilled occupations in the United States during the early twentieth century, making it a great case study to examine the effect of a woman-friendly occupation on women's labor market outcomes. Unfortunately, postmaster jobs were not permanent positions because postmasters were presidential appointees. This complicates the long-run effect of having a woman-friendly occupation. I further explain these two aspects below.

3.1 Postmaster as a Woman-Friendly Occupation

The postmaster occupation had several distinctive features that made it more woman friendly than any other skilled occupation. First, it allowed married women to enter the profession, which was extremely rare because many other occupations had marriage bars that prohibited married women from entering or fired women upon marriage (Goldin, 1988, Goldin, 2021). A few reasons could be behind the lack of marriage bars in the postmaster occupation. For example, the Civil Service Commission ruled that wives of veterans should be prioritized in postmaster appointments, making it clear that married women were welcome in the profession (United States Civil Service Commission, 1938). In addition, candidates for postmasters were required to have a few years of business experience in similar types of employment, implying that only older women (who were more likely to be married) were eligible.

Figure 2 supports the claim that the postmaster occupation did not have marriage bars. The figure shows the share of women and ever-married women in the postmaster occupation and

other skilled occupations between 1910 and 1940. Although the share of women in the postmaster occupation and the clerical occupation was similar, 80 percent of women postmasters had been married, while only 10 percent to 30 percent of women clerical workers had been married. Other woman-dominated occupations, such as teachers and stenographers, also had a very limited number of married women compared to postmasters.

The second woman-friendly feature of the postmaster job was its flexible work arrangements. Since most post offices were in rural areas and did not have fixed locations at the time, postmasters had autonomy in deciding the location of the post office that they oversaw. Oftentimes, postmasters established the post office either in the comfort of their own homes or inside a general merchandise store that their family was operating (Blevins, 2021). **??** in the Appendix provides a few examples of such flexible work arrangements. Moreover, this feature allowed women postmasters to work in clean and respectable environments, which distinguished them from other women working in factories and mills. Working as a postmaster was socially acceptable since it was a "clean and honorable" occupation that let women be in close contact with the home and family (Cortelyou, 1906).

In addition, the postmaster occupation provided equal pay to men and women. The President of the National Federation of Postal Employees stated that "there is no discrimination against her in the matter of wages. We, as an organization, will resist any such discrimination, should it be made" (The National Federation of Postal Employees, 1919). The equal pay was a result of the postmaster's salary being determined at the post office level and not being adjusted based on the characteristics of the postmaster.⁷ The salary amount depended on post office revenue, which was jointly determined by mail volume and postmaster performance.⁸ Postmaster was an extremely well-paid job for women; they were paid at least \$1,100 per year, sometimes even \$2,000 to \$3,000 per year (as shown in ??), which was a much higher level of compensation than the average wages earned by women with high school degrees, which was only around \$650 in 1940.⁹

Lastly, the skills required for the postmaster position matched quite well with skills required

⁷Although there was no explicit wage penalty against women, women were often appointed to smaller post offices that paid less (see **??** in the Appendix for more details).

⁸The postmaster's salary was a percent of the quarterly sales: "40% for sales under \$100, 33.3% for sales from \$100 to \$400, 30% for \$400 to \$2,400, 12.5% for sales over \$2,400" (Prechtel-Kluskens, 2007).

⁹Author's calculation based on the 1940 complete count census.

at home, making women ideal candidates for postmasters. For example, postmasters were required to have decent arithmetic skills to keep track of post office sales as well as to balance and close the statements. These skills were taught to many women through bookkeeping and accounting courses in school because they helped women to become more efficient homemakers (Rury, 1991, Nash, 2005).

3.2 Postmasters as Presidential Appointees

Postmasters were presidential appointees who worked for the federal government. During the nineteenth and early twentieth centuries, postmasters played significant roles under the spoils system to help their party win elections, including inserting resident's mail with campaign materials and endearing "themselves to members of the House of Representatives through their regular, personal contact with a remote segment of the electorate" (Kernell and McDonald, 1999). In return, presidents gave out postmaster jobs to party loyalists after winning the election. As valuable political assets, postmasters became the largest group of political appointees (John, 1988). Postmasters alone accounted for 76.6 percent of presidential appointments between 1819 and 1917, and the number of political appointments among postmasters far exceeded the number of appointments from other departments in the federal government (Blevins, 2021). The politics involved in postmaster appointments was never a secret, as clearly stated in Postmaster General James Farley's memoir. Farley, who served under President Franklin Roosevelt, noted that his selection of postmasters had to be "loyal Democrats who at the same time will have the ability to serve in their positions to the credit of their party and their country" (Farley, 1938).

When civil service reforms started to roll out in the late nineteenth century, candidates for postmasters were required to pass civil service exams and score among the top three to become eligible. The exam tested the candidate's ability to manage the post office, such as their arithmetic and writing skills. For example, the candidate was asked to make an itemized list of money order transactions over the past month, as well as to balance and close the statement based on fees charged in each money order (United States Civil Service Commission, 1916). Candidates applying for postmastership in larger post offices additionally had to demonstrate "business training, experience, and fitness" and "the ability in meeting and dealing satisfactorily with the public" (United States Civil Service Commission, 1922).¹⁰

¹⁰?? of the Appendix explains the eligibility requirements for postmaster candidates and the content of the civil

Despite efforts to select the best candidate for the postmaster job through civil service exams, postmasters remained as presidential appointees for many decades after civil service reform because the president was free to choose one of the top three scorers of the civil service exam and would only fill the position if someone from his political party was among the top three scorers.¹¹ A second exam was often held if the president failed to find a person from his own party (United States Government Printing Office, 1935). Since the president might not be familiar with the party affiliations of job seekers, the Postmaster General or local congressmen often would help pick the postmasters that belong to the president's political party (Fowler, 1945, Kernell and McDonald, 1999).

Given the political nature of postmasters, postmaster appointments only lasted four years, and re-appointments were extremely rare after a presidential transition when the party of the president changed. I demonstrate that my data support this historical account in Figure 3. The figure plots the number of new postmasters coming into the office each year and marks every presidential transition that took place during the early twentieth century with a vertical dashed line. It shows that the number of new postmasters coming into office increased drastically in the four years after each presidential transition but remained relatively stable in other years. This indicates postmasters appointed before a presidential transition lost their jobs and were replaced soon after by people from the opposite political party.¹² Note that postmasters were not immediately "fired" after a presidential transition; instead, they could stay on the job to finish their four-year term, and most of them had a financial incentive to stay because it was an extremely well-paid occupation.

4 Predetermined Characteristics of Women Postmasters

I compare the predetermined characteristics of women postmasters appointed from 1921 to 1939 with the general female population. The sample of postmasters is the linked data between

service exams in more detail.

¹¹12,000 postmasters from larger post offices remained as presidential appointees until 1970 (Patch, 1948). This is the sample of postmasters I use in the analysis. Postmasters from smaller post offices stopped being presidential appointees between 1909 and 1913 and were not included in the sample. See more explanation in **??** of the Appendix.

¹²Blevins, 2021 shows the same pattern existed for postmasters appointed during the late nineteenth century, as shown in **??** in the Appendix. Mastrorocco and Teso, 2023 establishes similar stylized facts for other federal employees not employed in the Post Office between 1817 and 1905.

postmaster appointments and the 1920 and 1940 complete-count censuses, and the sample of the general female population includes women aged between 18 and 65 in the 1920 and 1940 complete-count censuses (Ruggles et al., 2021).¹³

4.1 Women Postmasters Were Predominantly White, Native Born, and Rural

Women postmasters were selected from predominantly White, native born, and rural populations. Based on results from Table 2, 99 percent of women postmasters were White, and 98 percent of them were native born. This is not surprising since postmasters were required to be citizens. While 57 percent of women lived in urban areas in 1920, only 12 percent of women postmasters did. This not only reflects that the majority of post offices were in rural areas but also confirms women were more likely to be appointed to rural post offices that were paid less (see **??** in the Appendix for more details). Despite women postmasters' over-representation in rural areas, they were slightly less likely to be from farm households, which might speak to their high socioeconomic background.

4.2 Women Postmasters Were Qualified but Not Employed Previously

Women postmasters were highly qualified. First, women postmasters had 11.7 years of schooling on average. This was much higher than the average level of educational attainment of the general female population, which was only 9 years (see Columns 1 and 2 of Table 2). Women postmasters were also relatively older when appointed, which might imply that they had some working experience since many educated women participated in the labor market briefly before marriage. In addition, a closer look at married women postmasters reveals that they were positively selected based on business experience since 48.7 percent of them had a self-employed husband (see Columns 3 and 4 of Table 2). These women might have accumulated work experience by helping with their husband's work.

Despite their qualifications, most women postmasters were not gainfully employed before being appointed postmasters. Only 31.7 percent of women postmasters were gainfully employed in 1920, a figure not much higher than the share of women gainfully employed in the

¹³The 1940 census data are needed because certain predetermined characteristics, such as years of education, are only available for 1940.

general population (25.6 percent).¹⁴ In addition, only 3.3 percent of women postmasters reported being self-employed.

Why were women postmasters not gainfully employed previously? I briefly discuss two reasons. One is that most women were married and thus were unlikely to be employed due to marriage bars. The other is that women postmasters were selected positively on socioeconomic background and thus were unlikely to work outside the household due to stigma.

4.2.1 Lack of Employment Due to Marital Status

The low level of gainful employment among future women postmasters could be explained by their marital status. Since 70.8 percent of women postmasters were married and many occupations and industries established marriage bars that prevented married women from working, most women postmasters had limited labor market prospects if it were not for the womanfriendly postmaster occupation.

This argument is further supported by the fact that only 7.5 percent of married women in the general population were gainfully employed (Column 3 of Table 2), and the share of married women postmasters who were gainfully employed was much lower than the share of women postmasters who were gainfully employed (15.2 as opposed to 31.7 percent, see the comparison in Table 2).

4.2.2 Lack of Employment Due to Socioeconomic Background

The low level of gainful employment could also be explained by women's socioeconomic status. Given that most working women worked in "unclean" conditions (such as in factories and mills), it was not socially acceptable for women from higher socioeconomic backgrounds to work outside the household (Goldin, 2021).

In ??, I illustrate that future women postmasters were selected positively by their socioeconomic backgrounds. Following procedures outlined in Olivetti and Paserman, 2015, I impute

¹⁴Gainful employment is defined as having a gainful occupation that does not include working as a housewife, helping with chores at home, or being a student. Census enumerators were instructed to mark down a gainful occupation if the occupation was income-generating. For example, a housekeeper was not considered a gainful occupation if the woman worked in her home as the housekeeper but was considered a gainful occupation if the woman worked for other households and get paid for the housework done. See more details here: https://www.census.gov/library/publications/1929/dec/monograph-9.html

women postmasters' socioeconomic backgrounds with their first names.¹⁵ The results suggest candidates for women postmasters were selected overwhelmingly from above the median level of family socioeconomic background. As a result, these women were unlikely to have previously worked outside the household. The postmaster occupation provided women with a clean and respectable working environment and made it acceptable for women to work.

4.3 Postmaster Jobs Improved Women's Employment Outcomes

Based on the descriptive statistics, many women would not have worked in the absence of postmaster jobs despite being highly qualified. As a result, postmaster jobs likely have improved women's employment outcomes.

I present additional evidence that postmaster jobs increased women's employment by 14.1 percentage points to 23.9 percentage points in **??** of the Appendix. The estimates are generated by a regression discontinuity design that compares women postmasters appointed just before and after the census date to estimate the difference in gainful employment reported in the census. See more details in the Appendix.

5 Identification: Regression Discontinuity

I examine whether women postmasters benefited from the work experience beyond the appointed term. Taking advantage of the fact that postmasters were presidential appointees and were rarely re-appointed after the party of the president changed, I use an RD design to compare the 1940 outcomes for postmasters appointed just before and after the 1933 presidential transition, when Franklin Roosevelt (a Democrat) replaced Herbert Hoover (a Republican).

Postmasters appointed before the 1933 presidential transition were appointed by Republican presidents and were rarely reappointed after Franklin Roosevelt entered office. As a result, these postmasters stopped being postmasters once they have finished their four-year term. In contrast, postmasters appointed after the 1933 presidential transition could be reappointed and

¹⁵The sample used for the imputation includes girls born between 1905 and 1915 in the 1920 complete-count census. The first step is to standardize their first names using the algorithm developed by Abramitzky et al., 2021. The second step is to calculate the average rank of the father's occupational score, grouped by the daughter's first name. The average rank is used as the measurement of women's socioeconomic background.

continued to be postmasters beyond 1940. The RD design estimates the change in the probability of employment for women postmasters who finished their appointed term.

Formally, the RD treatment effect is expressed as follows:

$$E[Y_i(1) - Y_i(0)|X_i = X_0],$$

where Y_i is the economic outcome for individual *i* in 1940, X_0 is the day that the presidential transition took place (March 4, 1933), and X_i is the initial appointment date. The running variable is the distance between the initial appointment date and the day that the presidential transition took place. I also include individual control variables in the specification, such as age, age square, marital status, farm and urban status, years of education, and whether one migrated during the past 5 years.¹⁶

The advantage of the RD design is that it provides great convenience in census linking. Because labor market outcomes of postmasters appointed before and after the 1933 presidential transition are both observed in the 1940 census, RD only requires linking postmaster appointment data to the 1940 census, which is much more convenient than linking postmaster appointment data to multiple censuses as would be necessary for other identification strategies such as the difference-in-difference (DID).

5.1 Validity of RD

Despite the fact that women postmasters appointed just before and after the presidential transition belonged to different political parties, I show in Figure 4 and Table 3 that many observed characteristics of the two groups were similar. The goal is to illustrate that women postmasters appointed just before and after the presidential transition were comparable in many dimensions, and as a result, the comparison yields a reasonable estimate of the change in the probability of employment for women postmasters who finished their appointed term. On a different note, Section 6.1.2 addresses the issue of differential selection on unobserved characteristics.

We might be worried that Franklin Roosevelt – the Democratic President who came into

¹⁶The RD design outlined here is a sharp RD design. Given that postmasters appointed by one party were extremely unlikely to be appointed by the opposite party after the presidential transition, sharp RD is the preferred identification. To be more comprehensive, I additionally discuss fuzzy RD design in **??** of the Appendix.

office after the 1933 presidential transition – appointed postmasters in a different manner than his Republican predecessors, possibly due to political reasons. To address this issue, I examine whether county-level Republican vote share in the previous election changed discontinuously at the 1933 presidential transition date. Reassuringly, Figure 4 shows that there is no discontinuity in Republican vote share in 1928, and the RD estimate is small and not statistically significant. The result suggests that women postmasters appointed just after the transition were not more likely to be from Republican counties when compared to women appointed just before.

I also examine whether women postmasters appointed just before and after the 1933 presidential transition were selected differently based on the severity of the Great Depression. We might be worried that President Roosevelt had appointed women postmasters in counties that suffered a severe economic downturn more swiftly since they were in more desperate need of employment opportunities. However, Figure 4 and Table 3 show little evidence that women postmasters appointed across the transition date were selected differently on county-level retail sales loss per capita between 1929 and 1933 (a measurement of the severity of the Great Depression). The result suggests that women postmasters appointed just after the transition were not more likely to be from counties that suffered a more severe economic downturn.

In addition, it is important to establish that the probability of linking a woman postmaster to the census does not change discontinuously at the presidential transition date to ensure that the discontinuities in RD results are not due to census linking issues (e.g., women appointed just before the presidential transition were more likely to migrate than women appointed just after, and thus less likely to be linked to the census). Toward this goal, I show that the probability of linking a woman postmaster to the 1920 and the 1940 census does not change discontinuously at the presidential transition date. The lack of discontinuity in linking rates provides more credibility to the RD results.

Last, I show that many other individual-level characteristics of women appointed just before and after the 1933 presidential transition are balanced. For example, women postmasters appointed just before and after the transition were similar in socioeconomic background, as measured by the average occupational score rank of their fathers. These two groups of women were also similar in years of education accomplished, age at postmaster appointment, native-born, urban, farm, marital, employment, and homeownership status, as well as the number of children in the household. Overall, the results suggest women postmasters appointed just before and after the presidential transition were similar to each other in many dimensions.

5.2 Robustness Checks of Baseline RD

I implement several robustness checks to ensure the baseline RD results are robust to alternative specifications, such as robust bias-corrected standard errors, a different kernel function, a fixed bandwidth choice of 1,000 days, county-level controls, and age group fixed effects. In addition, I implement a placebo test by setting the presidential transition date to March 4th in a different year, and I use a donut RD design that excludes those appointed between the election and presidential transition dates (Barreca et al., 2011). The goal of a donut RD is to make sure that the baseline RD results are not driven by postmasters who anticipated the upcoming presidential transition and might have different incentives to take the job.

6 RD Results on Women's Employment and Labor Supply

In Figure 5 and Table 4, I present evidence showing that women experienced a large reduction in employment and labor supply after finishing their postmaster term. The figure plots women's labor market outcomes against the standardized distance between their initial appointment date and the 1933 presidential transition date (March 4, 1933), and the table reports RD estimates based on the identification described in Section 5.

6.1 Women Experienced a Substantial Reduction in Employment

The main outcome variable I am interested in is whether one was gainfully employed in 1940. Being gainfully employed is defined as having a gainful occupation in which one works for pay at least some time and does not include working as a housewife. This is the preferred measure for women's employment, which was often part-time and temporary because it captures women's work more comprehensively.¹⁷ ¹⁸

As shown in Figure 5, there is a large discontinuity in women's gainful employment at the

¹⁷For example, 4.1 percent of women with gainful occupations were not considered "employed" in 1940 in my sample, possibly because being "employed" requires the person to work for pay in a specific reference period. Among gainfully employed women who were not considered as "employed," the majority of them reported positive working hours in 1939.

¹⁸Despite differences in the definitions, changing the outcome variable to "employed" does not change the results.

presidential transition date. This suggests that many women postmasters appointed just before the transition stopped being postmasters and failed to find new employment opportunities by 1940. Note that these women had the option to finish their four-year postmaster term after the presidential transition, which means that they would be prepared to find new employment opportunities while still in the postmaster job. However, women still experienced a substantial loss of employment (a 26.7 percentage points reduction as shown in Table 4).

6.1.1 Is the Result Due to Women Being Family Workers?

One reason women experienced a large reduction in employment after finishing their postmaster term is that they transitioned to unpaid family workers. Since unpaid family workers were not well-documented historically, we might mistake these women as not working and recover a large negative effect on employment.

Fortunately, the 1940 census instructions explicitly stated that unpaid family workers, such as women helping with family businesses, should be documented.¹⁹ Using the variable from the 1940 census, I examine whether women who lost their postmaster jobs were more likely to be working as family workers. Figure 5 shows that very few women were counted as family workers based on the census definition, and women who lost their postmaster jobs were not more likely to transition from working in the formal labor market to working as family workers (see the RD estimate from Table 4).

Women who worked primarily within the family might still be undercounted despite efforts to document unpaid family workers in the 1940 census. Alternatively, I impute family workers based on the procedures outlined in Chiswick and Robinson, 2021. The imputed variable counts all family members in a household where the head is self-employed as family workers, because many merchant and craft business owners rely on family members as laborers. As shown in Figure 5, the imputation increases the share of women family workers from below 5 percent to around 20 percent, which suggests that women family workers might be underreported. However, there is little evidence that women postmasters who lost their jobs were more likely to become family workers, conditional on the imputation.

¹⁹See more details in the 1940 census instructions (item 539): unpaid family workers included those who worked "in a shop or store from which the family obtained its support, or on other work that contributed to the family income (not including home housework or incidental chores)." https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/decennial-census/technical-documentation/questionnaires/1940/1940-instructions.html

6.1.2 Is the Result Due to Women Being Negatively Selected?

Another reason why women experienced a large reduction in employment could be that these women were negatively selected. Although Figure 4 and Table 3 show that the observed characteristics of women postmasters appointed just before and after the presidential transition are balanced, postmasters appointed just before the presidential transition could be differentially selected on unobserved characteristics. If so, the comparison between women postmasters appointed by a lame-duck Republican president and those appointed by a popular Democratic president might exaggerate the advserse effect on employment.

To address the potential adverse selection of postmasters appointed just before the presidential transition, I benchmark the RD estimates of women against those of men. Since both men and women postmasters appointed before the presidential transition were selected by the same president, differencing out the RD estimates between women and men should result in a less biased estimate.²⁰ For example, the density test shows there were more women appointed immediately after the presidential transition, causing concerns about selection (McCrary, 2008, M. Cattaneo et al., 2018). Taking the difference between men's and women's RD estimates attenuates the bias because the bunching exists for both men and women postmasters (see **??**).

The RD results for men are shown in **??**. The figure shows that there is no discontinuity in gainful employment among male postmasters, which suggests that male (Republican) postmasters appointed just before the presidential transition did not experience a reduction in employment despite the fact that they might have been negatively selected. Results from Panel C of Table 4 show that the differences between RD estimates among women and men are large and statistically significant, indicating that women postmasters who lost their postmaster jobs were much worse off than men appointed by the same president. I conclude that the negative effect on women's employment is still substantial even after accounting for the selection issue.

As an alternative to gender differences in RD estimates, I implement a DID design that compares the labor market outcomes of men and women postmasters appointed before the 1933 presidential transition. I show that the DID estimate (33.5 percentage points) is similar to the gender differences in RD estimates (25.2 percentage points), which further strengthens the argument that, conditional on selection, women experienced a large reduction in employment. **??** of

²⁰This is similar to the "difference in discontinuity" estimates used to address selection bias as shown in Grembi et al., 2016. The difference here is a gender difference instead of a cross-sectional difference.

the Appendix outlines the details about the DID estimates.

6.2 Women Did Not Become Self-Employed

Another outcome variable of interest is whether one was self-employed in 1940. Since skill sets required in the postmaster occupation were similar to those required in self-employment opportunities (such as managers and bookkeepers), self-employment was an attractive alternative option for women.²¹ However, Figure 5 and Table 4 suggest very few women were self-employed and women postmasters were not more likely to become self-employed after finishing their postmaster term. This might be one reason the reduction in gainful employment among women is so large.

6.3 Women Experienced a Substantial Reduction in Labor Supply

In addition, I show that the decrease in women's labor supply associated with the decrease in employment is substantial. Women postmasters appointed just before the presidential transition experienced a reduction in labor supply by 17.0 weeks per year and 11.2 hours per week. The large decrease in labor supply is consistent with findings from past research that suggest women's labor supply was much more elastic than men's (Goldin, 2006).

6.4 Baseline RD Results Are Robust

I show that the baseline RD results shown above are robust to many alternative specifications, including robust bias-corrected standard errors, a different kernel function, a fixed bandwidth choice of 1,000 days, county-level controls, and age-group fixed effects.²² Although it is unlikely that the baseline RD results would change with alternative specifications because of the large discontinuity in gainful employment shown in Figure 5, I present the robustness checks in Table 5 and confirm that the baseline RD results still hold.

Additionally, I implement a placebo test by setting March 4, 1926, as the pseudo-presidential

²¹Because past business experience was required and appreciated at the postmaster jobs, many postmasters were actually self-employed before being appointed. They often worked in general merchandise stores or as salesmen or saleswomen (Blevins, 2021).

²²County-level controls include the share of high school/college graduates, the share of women, the share of Whites, the share of the working population by gender, and population density. Age groups are defined as below 30, between 30 and 40 ... between 60 and 70, and above 70 years old.

transition date. I estimate the RD results using a new running variable based on the pseudo-date. Because of the null results recovered in the placebo test, I conclude that the large employment loss among women is specific to those appointed just before the 1933 presidential transition date.

I also implement a donut RD design that excludes postmasters appointed between the 1932 election date (November 8, 1932) and the 1933 presidential transition date (March 4, 1933). Postmasters appointed after the 1932 election date would have anticipated the upcoming presidential transition and might have had different incentives to take the job. The donut RD examines whether the baseline RD results are driven by these observations. As shown in Panel F of Table 5, the donut RD estimates on gainful employment and labor supply are only slightly smaller than the baseline RD results, but they have a similar level of statistical significance. The donut RD results provide further support for the argument that women postmasters experienced substantial employment loss after completing their postmaster term.

6.5 Heterogeneous Effects by Marital Status and Tenure Length

I further examine the heterogeneous effects on women postmasters in **??** of the Appendix. One helpful aspect of examining heterogeneous effects is to shed light on the reasons behind the large reduction in employment on women. For example, I show that single women would be more likely to be employed again than married women, possibly due to marriage bars in hiring and retaining married women workers, which provides additional support to the argument that discrimination against married women adversely affected women's employment as outlined in Section 8.

7 Discussion: Did Women Benefit Beyond the Postmaster Term?

Did women postmasters benefit from the woman-friendly occupation beyond their postmaster term? I show that the answer is likely negative by comparing women postmasters with women who had never been postmasters. The combined results suggest the long-run benefit of a woman-friendly occupation is limited.

7.1 Comparison Between Women Postmasters and Their Neighbors

The RD design compares women who finished their postmaster terms with women who were still postmasters, which recovers a large negative effect. That said, this does not necessarily mean that women who had been postmasters did not benefit from the work experience. Instead, they might have better labor market outcomes than women who never had been postmasters, thanks to their postmaster appointments in the past. To test this hypothesis, I compare women postmasters with their women neighbors, who could be identified from the complete-count census data as explained in Logan and Parman, 2017.²³ Comparison within the same neighborhood not only controls for place of residence but also addresses sorting based on unobserved characteristics that might be place specific.

Using the 1920-1940 linked sample of native-born White people aged between 18 and 65 who lived in neighborhoods that had at least one postmaster (Price, Buckles, Van Leeuwen, et al., 2019, Price, Buckles, Haws, et al., 2023),²⁴ I implement the following DID regression:

$$Y_{ihet} = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 P M_i + \alpha_2 Post_t + \alpha_3 P M_i \times Post_t + \gamma_h + \gamma_e + X_{ihet} \Theta + \epsilon_{ihet}$$

 Y_{ihet} is the outcome variable for postmaster *i* who had education level *e* and lived in neighborhood *h* in year *t*. *t* only takes on two values – 1920 and 1940. *PM_i* is a dummy variable that equals 1 if the person had been a postmaster. *Post_t* is a dummy variable that equals 1 if the year is 1940. I include neighborhood fixed effects γ_h and education fixed effects γ_e , which allows me to compare people in the same neighborhood and people with the same level of education. I also added individual-level control variables X_{ihet} , including age, age square, marital status, farm, and urban status.

I run the regression separately by gender. **??** shows the DID estimates for women are not statistically significant from 0, which suggests that women postmasters appointed before the 1933 transition were not more likely to be gainfully employed than their 1920 neighbors in 1940.²⁵ In

²³Because census enumerators traveled on foot to collect information about households, respondents documented on the same page of the microfilm are categorized as neighbors.

²⁴At the time of writing, the Census Tree data is the only dataset that provides linked sample of women between 1920 and 1940. I restrict the sample to user-made links (referred to as "family tree" links in Price, Buckles, Van Leeuwen, et al., 2019 and Price, Buckles, Haws, et al., 2023)

²⁵Note that the estimates are less precise when using the sample of women postmasters appointed 400 to 1,000 days before the presidential transition date, possibly due to the low number of postmasters appointed in that range.

contrast, women postmasters appointed after the 1933 transition were more likely to be gainfully employed than their 1920 neighbors in 1940 by 60 to 70 percentage points. The combined results indicate that there is little evidence that women postmasters benefited from their work experience beyond their postmaster term and became more employable than their neighbors with similar levels of education.

8 Mechanisms Behind the Lack of Long-Term Benefits

It is puzzling many women simply stopped working after their postmaster appointment because these women were highly qualified and had valuable work experience. I present suggestive evidence showing that the lack of long-term benefits of a woman-friendly occupation was due to limited labor market opportunities for women, which can be explained by state-level discrimination against married women working and the severity of the Great Depression. In contrast, the results cannot be explained by women's political affiliations or women's preferences for fertility and home production.

8.1 State-Level Discrimination Against Married Women

Marriage bars had a long history in the U.S. labor market and existed in different forms in various occupations and industries. The discriminatory practice persisted due to the belief that women's sphere was the family and working women could not be efficient and caring home-makers (Harris, 1978, Rury, 1991). Marriage bars established by the government, however, were relatively rare until the Great Depression, during which the federal government passed Section 213 of the Economy Act that asked "married persons" to resign if both the husband and the wife were working for the federal government, and the majority of those forced to resign were women because women earned less than men (Cook, 1936). The establishment of the clause was fueled by sentiment against women – and especially married women – working given the lack of employment opportunities during an economic downturn.

The federal legislation set a precedent for the state to freely discriminate against married women, and twenty-six states quickly followed suit to introduce legislation that restricted married women's employment during the Great Depression (Shallcross, 1940). Although most state legislative actions did not pass, blatant discrimination against married women reinforced the ex-

isting social norms that prevent women from working outside their homes. According to Shallcross, 1940, married women workers "may eventually find it impossible to get a job even though no laws have been passed specifically prohibiting her employment" if sentiment against women working continued to grow. A Gallup poll found the majority of the respondents supported state legislatures' desire to pass laws restricting married women's rights to work (Gallup Organization, 1939), even though a government survey showed most married women were seeking employment due to economic necessity (Brown, 1929).

To examine whether state-level discrimination contributed to the negative employment effect, I estimate the RD treatment effects by states that had and did not have legislation against married women working. Figure 6 displays a map of the states that introduced legislation prohibiting married women from working during the Great Depression (Shallcross, 1940), and Panel A and B of Table 6 display the RD results.

Women living in states with newly introduced marriage bars were 39.3 percentage points less likely to be gainfully employed in 1940, and they decreased their labor supply by 22.6 weeks worked per year and 15.2 hours per week. The estimates are much larger than the baseline RD estimates shown in Table 4, suggesting that women in areas with state-level marriage bars had worse employment outcomes. The results for women in areas without such marriage bars are the opposite, suggesting that women were only 4.9 percentage points less likely to be gainfully employed and the estimate is not statistically significant.

Overall, the findings suggest that state-level discrimination against married women led to fewer employment opportunities for women and could explain why many women stopped working after their postmaster appointment.

8.2 The Severity of the Great Depression

Women living in counties that experienced a more severe economic downturn during the Great Depression might find it more challenging to obtain new jobs, resulting in the large employment losses shown in the RD results. In particular, I estimate the RD treatment effects by county-level severity of the Great Depression, which is measured by changes in retail sales per capita between 1929 and 1933 (Fishback et al., 2005, Feigenbaum, 2015). Panel C and D of Table 6 show RD results for postmasters living in counties that experienced above and below the

median retail sales loss per capita respectively.

Women postmasters living in areas that experienced a more severe economic downturn experienced a reduction of 40.8 percentage points in the probability of gainful employment and decreased their labor supply by 23.6 weeks per year after finishing their postmaster term. In contrast, the RD estimates are small and insignificant for women postmasters living in counties that experienced a less severe economic downturn. The results suggest that women in areas with a more severe economic downturn suffered larger employment losses.

Given that the severity of the Great Depression can explain women's loss of employment, we might be interested in recovering counterfactual RD estimates based on different levels of severity of the Great Depression. The goal of the exercise is to understand the extent to which women's employment loss was driven by the intensity of an economic downturn. I construct RD estimates assuming everyone in the sample experienced sales loss per capita at the 10th, 25th, 50th, 75th, and 90th percentile. The results are shown in **??**, and the details on how to construct counterfactual estimates are explained in **??** of the Appendix.

Assuming every woman postmaster lived in a county with sales loss per capita between 1929 and 1933 at the 10th percentile (which is around \$70 in 1967 dollars), the RD estimate suggests that women were 18.9 percentage points less likely to be gainfully employed after finishing their postmaster term. The estimate is not only smaller than the baseline estimate shown in Table 4 but also becomes statistically insignificant at the 5 percent level. This suggests women's loss of employment would be smaller if not due to the economic downturn.

On the other hand, if we assume every woman postmaster lived in a county with sales loss per capita between 1929 and 1933 at higher levels, such as the 50th, 75th and 90th percentile, the RD estimate becomes larger and more statistically significant, reaching between 24.2 percentage points to 38.1 percentage points The RD estimates on labor supply exhibit a similar pattern, suggesting that the decrease in labor supply associated with the decrease in employment is positively correlated with the severity of the Great Depression.

The combined results are consistent with the hypothesis that the severity of the Great Depression resulted in fewer employment opportunities for women and, in turn, led to a lack of long-term benefits for women's future employment.

8.3 Political Affiliation

Since women postmasters appointed before the presidential transition were Republicans, it might not be possible for them to find politically connected jobs or government jobs after a Democratic president had come into office, making political affiliation a plausible reason why many women stopped working after their postmaster appointment. If true, the same reasoning should apply to male (Republican) postmasters appointed before the presidential transition who would have experienced a reduction in employment.

However, I have shown that male postmasters appointed before the presidential transition did not experience any substantial loss of employment in 1940 in Section 6.1.2, despite the fact that they were Republicans looking for employment opportunities under a Democratic presidency. Specifically, the decrease in the probability of gainful employment is only 1.4 percentage points for men and the estimate is not statistically significant. The contrast between women's and men's results suggests that the large employment loss women experienced was gender specific but not party specific, which invalidates political affiliation as the main reason women experienced a large reduction in employment after finishing their postmaster term.

Additional comparison on self-employment further supports the argument that women suffered large employment losses for reasons unrelated to politics. Women postmasters were not more likely to become self-employed after finishing their postmaster term, while men were 34.8 percentage points more likely to become self-employed. A closer look at the occupation codes in the 1940 complete count census reveals that male postmasters were more likely to take on "managers" and "salesmen" as self-employed occupations. The difference in self-employment suggests women could not find new jobs due to a lack of alternative employment opportunities that allowed women to enter.

As shown in Panel C of Table 4 and **??**, the gender differences in gainful employment, labor supply, and self-employment are statistically significant, suggesting that women fared worse than men after finishing their postmaster term even conditional on the same political affiliation. As a result, I rule out political affiliation as the primary factor that explains the large reduction in employment among women.

8.4 Fertility, Parenthood and Other Types of Home Production

Women might choose to have more children or take more responsibilities in home production after finishing their postmaster term, resulting in a reduction in future employment. The hypothesis is supported by research using contemporaneous data, which shows fertility and parenthood can explain some of the gender differences in job loss because women often take on flexible jobs that pay less to accommodate being a parent (Kunze and Troske, 2015, Illing et al., 2021, Meekes and Hassink, 2022). That said, I show that fertility and other types of home production cannot explain the significant reduction in women's employment in this case.

Using the same RD strategy as explained in Section 5, I examine whether fertility and parenthood drove women out of the labor force. I compare the number of children and the number of children under 5 years old among women appointed just before and after the presidential transition. The RD estimates should be positive and significant if women were trading off having more children and finding a new job after their postmaster appointment. Perhaps surprisingly, I do not find any significant effect, as shown by Columns 1 and 2 of **??**. The insignificant RD estimates suggest women postmasters who finished their postmaster appointment were not behaving differently than those who continued to be postmasters, indicating that fertility or parenthood was not the reason why many women stopped working.

Given that women postmasters were relatively older when appointed and might have stopped having children regardless of reappointment as postmasters, I also examine other outcome variables related to home production. I use the number of grandchildren, parents and parents-inlaw, and servants in the household as novel proxies for the amount of grandchild care, elderly care, and housework women perform. For example, if woman postmasters chose to take on more responsibilities in elderly care (housework) after the postmaster appointment, we should expect a positive (negative) and significant RD estimate on the number of parents and parentsin-law (number of servants) in the household. As shown in Columns 3, 4 and 5 of **??**, I do not find any effect on these home production outcomes either.

9 Conclusion

Although conventional wisdom suggests woman- and family-friendly occupations benefit women's employment, the empirical evidence on the short-term and long-term benefits of such occupations is rare. My paper fills the gap in the literature by exploring a unique historical setting – postmasters during the early twentieth-century United States.

On the one hand, I show that a woman-friendly occupation likely has improved women's employment in the short run since many became gainfully employed thanks to the postmaster job. Although postmaster is a specific occupation, the results have external validity for many other skilled women during the historical period, most of whom were not working due to marriage bars and social norms against women working outside the home. The results suggest an increasing supply of women-friendly occupations would have improved many women's employment outcomes.

On the other hand, a woman-friendly occupation provided few benefits to women's future employment if the occupation was not permanent or involved uncertain tenure. My findings highlight the limitations of a woman-friendly occupation in an environment where women's employment was heavily constrained. The results have external validity for women in today's labor market, such as mothers who are still heavily discriminated against in the labor market after having children. Future research on the effect of woman-friendly occupations in various contexts is needed.

References

- Abramitzky, Ran, Leah Boustan, Katherine Erikkson, James Feigenbaum, and Santiego Pérez. "Automated linking of historical data". *Journal of Economic Literature*, vol. 59, no. 3, 2021.
- Ancestry. U.S., Appointments of U. S. Postmasters, 1832-1971 [database online]. 2021, Lehi, UT,
 USA: Ancestry.com Operations, Inc., 2010. This collection was indexed by Ancestry World
 Archives Project contributors. Retrieved in 2021.
- Aneja, Abhay, and Guo Xu. "The Costs of Employment Segregation: Evidence from the Federal Government Under Woodrow Wilson". *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, vol. 137, no. 2, 2022.
- Bailey, Martha, Connor Cole, Morgan Henderson, and Catherine Massey. "How Well Do Automated Methods Perform in Historical Samples? Evidence from New Ground Truth". *Journal of Economic Literature*, vol. 58, no. 4, 2020.
- Barreca, Al, Melanie Guldi, Jason Lindo, and Glen R. Waddell. "Saving Babies? Revisiting the effect of very low birth weight classification". *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, vol. 126, no. 4, 2011.
- Blevins, Cameron. Paper Trails: The US Post and the Making of the American West. Oxford UP, 2021.
- Blevins, Cameron, and Lincoln Mullen. "Jane, John ... Leslie? A Historical Method for Algorithmic Gender Prediction". *Digital Humanities Quarterly*, vol. 9, no. 3, 2015.
- Brown, Emily C. "A Study of Two Groups of Denver Married Women Applying for Jobs". Women's Bureau Bulletin. Washington: Government Printing Office, vol. 77, 1929.
- Burnette, Joyce. "Why we shouldn't measure women's labour force participation in pre-industrial countries". *Economic History of Developing Regions*, 2021.
- Calonico, Sebastian, Matias D. Cattaneo, and Rocío Titiunik. "Optimal Data-Driven Regression Discontinuity Plots". Journal of the American Statistical Association, vol. 110, no. 512, 2015, pp. 1753–69.
- Cattaneo, Matias, Michael Jansson, and Xinwei Ma. "Manipulation testing based on density discontinuity". *Stata Journal*, vol. 18, no. 1, Mar. 2018, pp. 234–61.

- Cattaneo, Matias D., Nicolás Idrobo, and Rocío Titiunik. "A Practical Introduction to Regression Discontinuity Designs: Foundations". *Elements in Quantitative and Computational Methods for the Social Sciences. Cambridge University Press*, 2019.
- Chiswick, Barry R., and RaeAnn Halenda Robinson. "Women at work in the United States since 1860: An analysis of unreported family workers". *Explorations in Economic History*, vol. 82, 2021.
- Cook, Edith Valet. "The married woman and her job". *Washington, D.C. : The National league of women voters*, 1936.
- Cortelyou, George. "The Postmistress". The Delineator, 1906.
- Crossley, Thomas F., Stephen R. G. Jones, and Peter Kuhn. "Gender Differences in Displacement Cost: Evidence and Implications". *Journal of Human Resources*, vol. 29, no. 2, 1994.
- Farley, James A. Behind the Ballots: The Personal History of a Politician. Harcourt, Brace, 1938.
- Feigenbaum, James J. "Intergenerational Mobility during the Great Depression". *Mimeo*, 2015.
- Feigenbaum, James J., and Daniel P. Gross. "Answering the Call of Automation: How the Labor Market Adjusted to the Mechanization of Telephone Operation". *Working paper*, 2021.
- Fishback, Price V., William C. Horrace, and Shawn Kantor. "Did New Deal Grant Programs Stimulate Local Economies? A Study of Federal Grants and Retail Sales during the Great Depression". *The Journal of Economic History*, vol. 65, no. 1, 2005.
- Folbre, Nancy. ""Holding hands at midnight": The paradox of caring labor". *Feminist Economics*, vol. 1, no. 1, 1995.
- Fowler, Dorothy Ganfield. "Congressional Dictation of Local Appointment". *Journal of Politics*, vol. 7, no. 1, 1945.
- Gallup Organization. Gallup Poll # 1939-0165: Women and Employment/1940 Presidential Election/New York World's Fair, Question 23 [USGALLUP.39-165.QB01A]. 1939, Gallup Organization. Cornell University, Ithaca, NY: Roper Center for Public Opinion Research.
- Goldin, Claudia. "A Grand Gender Convergence: Its Last Chapter". *American Economic Review*, vol. 104, no. 4, Apr. 2014, pp. 1091–119.

- ——. Career and Family: Women's Century-Long Journey toward Equity. Princeton UP, 2021.
- ———. "Marriage Bars: Discrimination Against Married Women Workers, 1920's to 1950's". NBER Working Paper, 1988.
- ------. "The Quiet Revolution that Transformed Women's Employment, Education, and Family". *American Economic Review*, vol. 96, no. 2, 2006.
 - *——. Understanding the Gender Gap: An Economic History of American Women.* Oxford UP, 1990.
- Goldin, Claudia, and Lawrence Katz. "A Most Egalitarian Profession: Pharmacy and the Evolution of a Family-Friendly Occupation". *Journal of Labor Economics*, vol. 34, no. 3, 2016.
- Grembi, Veronica, Tommaso Nannicini, and Ugo Troiano. "Do Fiscal Rules Matter?" *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, vol. 8, no. 3, July 2016, pp. 1–30.
- Harris, Barbara J. Beyond Her Sphere: Women and the Professions in American History. Greenwood P, 1978.
- Illing, Hannah, Johannes F. Schmieder, and Simon Trenkle. "The Gender Gap in Earnings Loss After Job Displacement". *NBER Working Paper*, 2021.
- Jacobson, Louis S., Robert J. LaLonde, and Daniel G. Sullivan. "Earnings Losses of Displaced Workers". *American Economic Review*, vol. 83, no. 4, 1993.
- John, Richard R. Spreading the news: The American postal system from Franklin to Morse. Harvard UP, 1988.
- Kernell, Samuel, and Michael P. McDonald. "Congress and America's Political Development: The Transformation of the Post Office from Patronage to Service". *American Journal of Political Science*, vol. 43, no. 3, 1999.
- Kleven, Henrik. "The Geography of Child Penalties and Gender Norms: Evidence from the United States". *NBER Working Paper*, 2023.
- Kleven, Henrik, Camille Landais, Johanna Posch, Andreas Steinhauer, and Josef Zweimuller.
 "Child Penalties Across Countries: Evidence and Explanations". AEA Papers & Proceedings, vol. 109, 2019.

- Korting, Christina, Carl Lieberman, Jordan Matsudaira, Zhuan Pei, and Yi Shen. "Visual Inference and Graphical Representation in Regression Discontinuity Designs". *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, vol. 138, no. 3, 2023, pp. 1977–2019.
- Kunze, Astrid, and Kenneth R. Troske. "Gender Differences in Job Search Among Young Workers: A Study Using Displaced Workers in the United States". *Southern Economic Journal*, vol. 82, no. 1, 2015.
- Lee, David S., and David Card. "Regression discontinuity inference with specification error". *Journal of Econometrics*, vol. 142, no. 2, 2008.
- Logan, Trevon D., and John M. Parman. "The National Rise in Residential Segregation". *The Journal of Economic History*, vol. 77, no. 1, 2017, pp. 127–70.
- Mas, Alexandre, and Amanda Pallais. "Alternative Work Arrangements". *Annual Review of Economics*, vol. 12, no. 1, 2020, pp. 631–58.
- ———. "Valuing Alternative Work Arrangements". American Economic Review, vol. 107, no. 12, Dec. 2017, pp. 3722–59.
- Mastrorocco, Nicola, and Edoardo Teso. "State Capacity as an Organizational Problem: Evidence from the Growth of the U.S. State Over 100 Years". *Working Paper*, 2023.
- Maxwell, Nan L, and Ronald J D'Amico. "Employment and wage effects of involuntary job separation: Male-female differences". *American Economic Review*, vol. 76, no. 2, 1986.
- McCrary, Justin. "Manipulation of the running variable in the regression discontinuity design: A density test". *Journal of Econometrics*, vol. 142, no. 2, 2008, The regression discontinuity design: Theory and applications, pp. 698–714.
- Meekes, Jordy, and Wolter H.J. Hassink. "Gender differences in job flexibility: Commutes and working hours after job loss". *Journal of Urban Economics*, vol. 129, 2022.
- Nash, Margaret A. Women's Education in the United States, 1780-1840. Palgrave Macmillan, 2005.
- National Archives and Records Administration. Record of Appointment of Postmasters, 1832-1971. 1977, Microfilm publication M841, 145 rolls; Record Group 28; The National Archives in Washington, D.C.

- Olivetti, Claudia, and M. Daniele Paserman. "In the Name of the Son (and the Daughter): Intergenerational Mobility in the United States, 1850-1940". *American Economic Review*, vol. 105, no. 8, 2015.
- Patch, B W. "Federal patronage". Editorial research reports, vol. 2, 1948.
- Prechtel-Kluskens, Claire. "The Nineteenth-Century Postmaster and His Duties". National Genealogical Society News Magazine, vol. 33, no. 1, 2007.
- Price, Joseph, Kasey Buckles, Adrian Haws, and Haley Wilbert. The Census Tree, 1920-1940. 2023, Ann Arbor, MI: Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research [distributor].
- Price, Joseph, Kasey Buckles, Jacob Van Leeuwen, and Isaac Riley. "Combining Family History and Machine Learning to Link Historical Records". *NBER Working Paper*, 2019.
- Ruggles, Steven, Catherine A. Fitch, Ronald Goeken, J. David Hacker, Matt A. Nelson, Evan
 Roberts, Megan Schouweiler, and Matthew Sobek. IPUMS Ancestry Full Count Data: Version
 3.0 [dataset]. 2021, Minneapolis, MN: IPUMS.
- Rury, John L. Education and Women's Work: Female Schooling and the Division of Labor in Urban America, 1870-1930. SUNY Series on Women / Work, 1991.
- Shallcross, Ruth E. "Should Married Women Work". Public Affairs Pamphlets, National Federation of Business and Professional Women's Clubs, vol. 49, 1940.
- The National Federation of Postal Employees. The Union postal employee. 1919.
- United States Census Bureau. 1940 Census of Population: Volume 3. The Labor Force. Occupation, Industry, Employment, and Income. 1943.
- United States Civil Service Commission. Information regarding postmaster positions filled through nomination by the President and confirmation by the Senate. 1922, Washington, DC.
 - ———. Information regarding postmaster positions filled through nomination by the President and confirmation by the Senate. 1938, Washington, DC.
- ———. Instructions to applicants for the fourth-class postmaster examination. 1916, Washington, DC.

- United States Government Printing Office. Civil Service for First-, Second-, and Third-class Postmasters: Hearing[s] Before the Committee on the Civil Service. 1935, House of Representatives, Seventy-fourth Congress, First Session.
- United States Government Printing Office. United States Official Postal Guide. 1939, Washington, DC.
- Wiswall, Matthew, and Basit Zafar. "Preference for the Workplace, Investment in Human Capital, and Gender*". *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, vol. 133, no. 1, Aug. 2017, pp. 457–507.
- Withrow, Jennifer. ""The Farm Woman's Problems": Farm Crisis in the U.S. South and Migration to the City, 1920-1940". *Working Paper*, 2021.

10 Figures

<u>_ Clerr</u>	(Foot Office)	Las	(County)		Established Discontinue	<u>rida</u>	
POSTMASTER	Nominated	CONFIRMED	Recess or Acting	Commission Signed and Mailed	Assumed Charge	CAUSE AND DATE OF VACANCY	REMARKS
Miss Sabelle H. Boyd		Teb.27,1931	act Pm			Rem	Òres.
Mos. Florence M. Bowman Mrs. Florence M. Bowman	July 10-35-	July 28-35	July 5-35 appt Pre- July 26-35-	Aug 10-35	July 20- 35- ang, 13, 1935	Com, Ex.	
Mrs. Florence M. Bowman Robert O Seaver	July 12,1939	July 18, 1939	July 26,1939 act Pm. may 31, 1946	Sejer, 6,1939	Sepr. 16, 1939 June 1, 1946	Tils .	<u> </u>
Robert O. Seaver	C/4.7, 1947	July 11, 1947	atht. Pres. July 19, 1947	July 14, 1947	Sept. 30, 1947		

Figure 1: Sample Image from "Record of Appointment of Postmasters, 1832-1971"

Source: Ancestry, 2021 and National Archives and Records Administration, 1977. The sample image shows the dataset contains rich information about postmaster appointments, including post office locations, postmaster names and postmaster appointment dates.



Figure 2: Share of Women and Ever-Married Women in Different Occupations

The figure shows the share of women in the postmaster, clerical, teacher and stenographer occupations between 1910 and 1940. The figure also shows the share of ever-married among women in each occupation. The share of women postmasters and ever-married women postmasters are calculated based on the dataset "Record of Appointment of Postmasters, 1832-1971". The share of women and ever-married women in other occupations are calculated based on 1% IPUMS.



Figure 3: Number of New Postmasters Entering Office Each Year

The figure shows the number of new postmasters entering office each year. Each vertical dashed line indicates the election year that led to a presidential transition when the party of the president changed from Republican to Democrat or from Democrat to Republican. Changes in the presidency within the same party are not labeled. The author's calculation is based on the dataset "Record of Appointment of Postmasters, 1832-1971".

Figure 4: Validity of RD – Pre-Determined Characteristics for Women Postmasters Appointed Just Before and Just After the 1933 Presidential Transition



The figures plot pre-determined characteristics for women postmasters appointed between 1921 and 1939. The running variable is the distance between the initial appointment date and the presidential transition date (March 4, 1933). The outcome variables are county-level Republican vote share in 1928, county-level sales loss per capita between 1929 and 1933, the probability of the postmaster being linked to the 1940 census, years of education, and whether one was married/gainfully employed in 1920. The first three variables are from the full sample of women postmasters, and the last three variables are from the linked sample of women postmasters. Data are plotted in 100 or 40 quantile-spaced bins, and each bin contains the same number of observations (Calonico et al., 2015, Korting et al., 2023). Linked data are re-weighted by inverse probability weights (Bailey et al., 2020). The availability of variables varies by different samples and censuses (see more details in Table 3).

Figure 5: Baseline RD Results – 1940 Labor Market Outcomes for Women Postmasters Appointed Just Before and Just After the 1933 Presidential Transition



The figures display RD estimates on 1940 labor market outcomes for women postmasters appointed just before and after the 1933 presidential transition (N=2,464). The running variable is the distance between the initial appointment date and the presidential transition date (March 4, 1933). The outcome variables are whether one was gainfully employed/an unpaid family worker/an unpaid family worker (imputed)/self-employed in 1940, weeks worked in 1939, and weekly hours worked in 1940. Data are plotted in 40 quantile-spaced bins, and each bin contains the same number of observations (Calonico et al., 2015, Korting et al., 2023). Data are re-weighted by inverse probability weights (Bailey et al., 2020).



Figure 6: States that Introduced Legislation Against Married Women Working During the 1930s

Author's reproduction of Shallcross, 1940. The figure shows the states that introduced legislation against married women working during the Great Depression.

Created with mapchart.net

11 Tables

Incumbent President	Incoming President	Share of Women Postmasters
William Taft (R)	Woodrow Wilson (D)	7%
Woodrow Wilson (D)	Warren Harding (R)	12%
Herbert Hoover (R)	Franklin Roosevelt (D)	22%
	Incumbent President William Taft (R) Woodrow Wilson (D) Herbert Hoover (R)	Incumbent PresidentIncoming PresidentWilliam Taft (R)Woodrow Wilson (D)Woodrow Wilson (D)Warren Harding (R)Herbert Hoover (R)Franklin Roosevelt (D)

Table 1: Presidential Transitions during the Early-Twentieth Century United States

The table outlines the timing of the presidential transitions that took place during the earlytwentieth century United States. It also displays the share of women postmasters at each presidential transition.

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Women	All Women	Married Women	Married
	Postmasters		Postmasters	Women
Variables from the 1940 (Census			
Years of Education	11.7	9.0	11.7	8.7
	(2.7)	(3.5)	(2.6)	(3.4)
Age at Appointment	38.6	_	37.5	_
0 11	(9.2)	-	(8.9)	-
Ν	2338	40803176	1252	28104767
Variables from the 1920 (Census			
Age	33.6	36.2	35.1	37.6
C	(8.6)	(12.7)	(8.1)	(11.5)
White	98.8	89.9	98.4	90.6
	(10.8)	(30.1)	(12.5)	(29.2)
Native Born	98.3	82.4	98.1	80.0
	(13.1)	(38.0)	(13.8)	(40.0)
Urban	12.0	56.8	12.3	51.9
	(32.5)	(49.5)	(32.9)	(50.0)
Farm	22.1	24.6	22.2	28.2
	(41.5)	(43.0)	(41.6)	(45.0)
Married	70.8	68.5	-	-
	(45.5)	(46.5)	-	-
Gainfully Employed	31.7	25.6	15.2	7.5
	(46.5)	(43.7)	(35.9)	(26.4)
Gainfully Employed (H)	-	-	98.0	96.4
	-	-	(13.9)	(18.7)
Self-Employed	3.3	3.7	1.9	1.5
	(17.8)	(18.8)	(13.7)	(12.2)
Self-Employed (H)	-	-	48.7	34.9
	-	-	(50.0)	(47.7)
Ν	1892	30129809	1178	18627077
Variables from the 1920 (Census: Condi	itional on Hea	ad/Spouse	
Homeowner	66.3	44.2	66.8	44.7
	(47.3)	(49.7)	(47.1)	(49.7)
# Children	1.8	2.1	1.8	2.2
	(1.6)	(2.0)	(1.6)	(2.0)
Ν	1294	20965460	1178	18627077

Table 2: Predetermined Characteristics of Women Postmasters and the General Population

The table compares the predetermined characteristics of women postmasters appointed between 1921 and 1939 with the general female population. All samples are further restricted to be between ages 18-65. The outcome variables are years of education, age at appointment, age in 1920, whether one was White and native born (*100), urban and farm status in 1920 (*100), whether one was currently married in 1920 (*100), whether one/one's husband was gainfully employed in 1920 (*100), whether one/one's husband was self-employed in 1920 (*100), and whether one was a homeowner in 1920 (*100) and the number of children in the household in 1920 (conditional on head/spouse). The availability of variables varies by different samples and censuses. Postmaster data are weighted by inverse probability weights (Bailey et al., 2020).

	(1)	(2)	(3)	
	Number of	RD	Standard	
	Obs	Estimate	Errors	
Variables from Sample of	f Women PM			
Republican Vote 1928 %	5728	2.013	(3.21)	
Sales Loss PC 1929-1933	5728	1.084	(16.62)	
Father's OCCScore Rank	5728	0.012	(0.01)	
Linked to 1940	5728	0.025	(0.10)	
Linked to 1920	5728	0.120	(0.07)	
Variables from Sample of	f Linked Won	nen PM (19	940)	
Years of Education	2464	0.969	(0.74)	
Age at Appointment	2464	-3.022	(2.32)	
Variables from Sample of Linked Women PM (1920)				
Age	2063	-5.023	(3.02)	
White	2063	0.072	(0.08)	
Native Born	2063	-0.041	(0.02)	
Married	2063	-0.121	(0.12)	
Employed	2063	-0.167	(0.21)	
Urban	2063	0.082	(0.07)	
Farm	2063	-0.315	(0.17)	
South	2063	-0.237	(0.20)	
Conditional on Household H	lead/Spouse			
Homeowner	1295	0.175	(0.22)	
# Children	1295	-0.342	(0.55)	

Table 3: Validity of RD - Predetermined Characteristics for Women Postmasters Appointed JustBefore and After the 1933 Presidential Transition

The table displays the RD estimates on pre-determined characteristics for women postmasters appointed between 1921 and 1939. The running variable is the distance between the initial appointment date and the presidential transition date (March 4, 1933). The outcome variables are county-level Republican vote share in 1928, county-level sales loss per capita between 1929 and 1933, father's OCCScore rank, the probability of the postmaster being linked to the 1940/1920 census, years of education, age at the appointment, age in 1920, whether one was White/native born/married/gainfully employed in 1920, farm and urban status in 1920, whether one lived in the South in 1920, whether one was a homeowner in 1920 (conditional on head/spouse), and the number of children in the household in 1920 (conditional on head/spouse). Standard errors are clustered by the running variable (Lee and Card, 2008), and linked data are re-weighted by inverse probability weights (Bailey et al., 2020). The availability of variables varies by different samples and censuses. * for p < 0.05, ** for p < 0.01, *** for p < 0.001

Table 4: Baseline RD Estimates - 1940 Labor Market Outcomes of Postmasters Appointed JustBefore and After the 1933 Presidential Transition

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	
	Gainfully	Family	Self-	Weeks	Hours	
	Employed	Worker	Employed	Worked	Worked	
Panel A: RD Estimates on Women Postmasters						
RD Estimate	0.267**	-0.026	-0.016	17.016***	11.186*	
	(0.09)	(0.02)	(0.05)	(4.56)	(5.38)	
N Total	2464	2464	2464	2464	2464	
N Effective	1017	1092	868	1024	898	
Bandwidth	924.5	1051.0	797.0	936.3	824.1	
Panel B: RD Estimates on Male Postmasters						
RD Estimate	0.014	-0.009	-0.348***	1.330	3.917	
	(0.03)	(0.01)	(0.11)	(2.34)	(3.96)	
N Total N Effective Bandwidth	8337 3127 807.7	8337 3030 789.3	8337 1701 438.1	8337 2648 701.6	8337 2458 629.7	
Panel C: Gender Differences in RD Estimates						
RD Difference	-0.252**	0.017	-0.332**	-15.686**	-7.270	
	(0.10)	(0.02)	(0.12)	(5.12)	(6.68)	
N Total	10801	10801	10801	10801	10801	

The table reports RD estimates on 1940 labor market outcomes for postmasters appointed just before and after the 1933 presidential transition. The running variable is the distance between the initial appointment date and the presidential transition date (March 4, 1933). The outcome variables are whether one was gainfully employed/an unpaid family worker/self-employed in 1940, weeks worked in 1939, and weekly hours worked in 1940. It additionally reports clustered standard errors by the running variable, the number of effective observations, and the optimal bandwidth (Lee and Card, 2008, M. D. Cattaneo et al., 2019). Data are re-weighted by inverse probability weights (Bailey et al., 2020). * for p < 0.05, ** for p < 0.01, *** for p < 0.001

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
	Gainfully	Family	Self-	Weeks	Hours		
	Employed	Worker	Employed	Worked	Worked		
A. Bias-Correct	ted RD w. Rol	bust Variai	ice Estimator				
RD Estimate	0.266*	-0.031	-0.011	16.891**	10.242		
	(0.11)	(0.03)	(0.06)	(5.62)	(6.51)		
B. Epanechniko	ov Kernel Den	sity					
RD Estimate	0.267**	-0.027	-0.028	17.126***	11.629*		
	(0.09)	(0.03)	(0.05)	(4.38)	(5.76)		
C. Bandwidth =	= 1000 Days						
RD Estimate	0.266**	-0.025	-0.006	17.047***	11.341*		
	(0.09)	(0.02)	(0.05)	(4.45)	(4.84)		
D. County-leve	el Controls						
RD Estimate	0.264**	-0.026	-0.021	17.189***	11.219*		
	(0.09)	(0.02)	(0.05)	(4.61)	(5.69)		
E. Age Group Fixed Effects							
RD Estimate	0.274**	-0.025	-0.022	17.347***	11.360*		
	(0.09)	(0.02)	(0.05)	(4.56)	(5.21)		
<i>F. Placebo Test</i>							
RD Estimate	-0.077	-0.036	0.051	-3.887	-3.863		
	(0.12)	(0.04)	(0.09)	(6.13)	(5.51)		
N .T	0 1 4 1	2464	2 4 4 4	0.1/1	2464		
N	2464	2464	2464	2464	2464		
G. Donut RD (tronning ohs a	mnointed a	fter the 1932 e	election			
RD Estimate	0.237*	-0.045	-0.134	16.762***	11.293*		
	(0.10)	(0.05)	(0.10)	(5.04)	(5.51)		
	(0.10)	(0.00)	(0.10)	(0.01)	(0.01)		
Ν	2391	2391	2391	2391	2391		

Table 5: Robustness Checks on RD Estimates (Women Only) - 1940 Labor Market Outcomes of Women Postmasters Appointed Just Before and After the 1933 Presidential Transition

The table reports robustness checks on RD estimates from Table 4. Panel A to Panel G report RD results with (A) bias-corrected RD estimates with robust variance estimator; (B) an Epanechnikov kernel density function; (C) bandwidth = 1000 days; (D) county-level control variables; (E) age group fixed effects; (F) a placebo test where the placebo presidential transition date is March 4th, 1926; (G) a donut RD design where observations within the distance between the election date in 1932 and the transition date in 1933 are dropped. Standard errors are clustered by the running variable (Lee and Card, 2008). Data are re-weighted by inverse probability weights (Bailey et al., 2020). * for p < 0.05, ** for p < 0.01, *** for p < 0.001 **Table 6:** By State-Level Discrimination Against Married Women and the Severity of the Great Depression - RD Estimates on 1940 Labor Market Outcomes of Women Postmasters Appointed Just Before and After the 1933 Presidential Transition

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
	Gainfully	Family	Self-	Weeks	Hours		
	Employed	Worker	Employed	Worked	Worked		
Panel A: States w. Legislation against Married Women Working							
RD Estimate	0.393***	-0.012	0.011	22.569***	15.248*		
	(0.11)	(0.02)	(0.03)	(5.92)	(6.23)		
		~ /	~ /	× ,	~ /		
Ν	1641	1641	1641	1641	1641		
Panel B: States w/o Legislation against Married Women Working							
RD Estimate	0.049	-0.023	-0.103	8.770	2.458		
	(0.18)	(0.06)	(0.11)	(7.99)	(11.00)		
Ν	823	823	823	823	823		
Panel C: Counties w. Above Median Retail Sales Loss Per Capita							
RD Estimate	0.408**	-0.051	0.079*	23.587***	12.912		
	(0.13)	(0.04)	(0.03)	(5.21)	(7.02)		
<u>N</u>	1480	1480	1480	1480	1480		
Panel D: Counties w. Below Median Retail Sales Loss Per Capita							
RD Estimate	-0.073	0.007	-0.338	4.944	7.529		

The table reports RD estimates on 1940 labor market outcomes for postmasters appointed just
before and after the 1933 presidential transition by state-level discrimination against married
women (Panel A and B) and the severity of the Great Depression (Panel C and D). Data on
states that introduced legislation against married women working are from Shallcross, 1940. The
severity of the Great Depression is measured by retail sales loss per capita between 1929 and 1933
(Fishback et al., 2005). The running variable is the distance between the initial appointment date
and the presidential transition date (March 4, 1933). The outcome variables are whether one was
gainfully employed/an unpaid family worker/self-employed in 1940, weeks worked in 1939,
and weekly hours worked in 1940. Standard errors are clustered by the running variable (Lee
and Card, 2008). Data are re-weighted by inverse probability weights (Bailey et al., 2020). * for
p < 0.05, ** for $p < 0.01$, *** for $p < 0.001$

(0.01)

984

(0.18)

984

(8.84)

984

(11.49)

984

(0.18)

984

Ν